

Randy Scott-All Claims Process, LLC

From:
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2012 4:58 PM
To: Randy Scott
Subject: Re: An Apology- Credibility and Delays-NAPPS

On Mon, Dec 3, 2012 at 11:47 AM, Independent Professional Process Servers of America <admin@ippsoa.com> wrote:



Dear ,

It is my understanding the NAPPS strength is it members. The members are what gives us the professional reputation we have in the community. I am writing to let you know I have closed my business during this time to address the concerns brought to me regarding NAPPS leadership and the AG process. Regretfully there will also be delays in updating the IPPSOA web site. It will likely be after the first of the year before it is further updated.

I have a preliminary response to the A/G complaint from NAPPS president YELLON on my personal website at <http://www.randyscott.us/NAPPSRESPONSE/response.html> . I have recently updated the response with a question of credibility of Yellon in regards to his complaint..

The NAPPS bylaws states the response must be in writing. The complainant YELLON was able to email it. The AG chair advised me I must physically mail it. The full official response as required by the chair was sent via the USPS mail and it includes 242 pages including exhibits and 3 counter complaints. Due to the concerns regarding the Bannister expulsion this must be a member concern and it is noticed for that purpose.

Thank you for your patience and please excuse the delay.

Should you be moved to assist send all assistance to the person Randy Scott 343 Hazelwood Ave S Lehigh Acres , Florida 33936. Please include a 10 digit number for your username and an 8 digit password. Upon receipt I will create a web page that will be <http://www.randyscott.us/NAPPSRESPONSE/donor/1234567890> The 1234567890 will be replaced with your user name number. The folder will be password protected and the user name will be your number you choose and include with your assistance. The password will be the one you send me. In the example the username is "1234567890" the password is "chosenpassword" .Be sure to watch your case sensitive entries. A full accounting will be offered as to each contributors portion and in the event the purposes for the fund no longer exist a refund will be prorated to all contributors. Please indicate in your letter if you do not want to be considered for a refund. The fund will only be used to seek and obtain legal counsel corporately in a derivative action or for the issues specifically related to YELLON V SCOTT, and keeping members of NAPPS informed regarding the issue of YELLON v SCOTT . If the purposes cease to exist for the fund all remaining amount will either be prorated and returned as instructed or donated to IPPSOA or a new NAPPS compliance committee.

This is done to assure relative member anonymity and avoid you as a target for retribution in your business while being accountability to your assistance and its purposes.

You agree any assistance is for the sole purpose of accountability and transparency and following of rules in

NAPPS for all of its members up to and including the related legal fees of the individual respondent Randy Scott or a derivative action on behalf of all members. A derivative action could exceed 50K but with 2000 members at 25.00 each we will be on our way to overcome the image of process servers that we are still fighting today. In part due to our leaders reflection upon us.

Should anyone have an alternative to bring about accountability and unite for a derivative action to bring NAPPS to reasonable compliance with various laws and rules I will be more than willing to participate in that campaign and organize it. 500 members at 100.00 each could end the bickering and start a new day where with a derivative action we can regain our communal focus on the purposes of the trade of process serving for everyone.

What say you? Are we ready to move beyond 1999 and the consequences of those issues still with us today?

Randy Scott

[Unsubscribe](#)