

Paul Tamaroff
 NAPPS A&G Committee Chair
 P.O. Box 7710
 Atlanta, GA 30357

January 17, 2013

Re: Revocation of member Scott

Dear Mr. Tamaroff:

I received the written revocation letter dated January 7th, 2013 via USPS mail Friday January 11, 2013 at 1:00 pm. It was machined stamped the same day of January 7, 2012. Typically, from Atlanta to Lehigh it only takes 3 days at the most.

In it the board agreed that the meeting was to be conducted by Bob Musser attended by Ron Ezell and excluding YELLON. I also understand the board reviewed the record. I understand the record included 197 pages I submitted to you covering all the points of my response. I also understand that the following board members Steve Glenn; Ruth Reynolds; Jillina Kwiatkowski; Lance Randall ; Andy Estin; and Eric Vennes have received a two page fax and email I sent on 11/25/2012 at 2:28 pm. In that letter I asked them to intervene and cease the retaliation relating to the fruits of specific issues I contacted law enforcement agency(ies) with. (over 10 letters with responses beginning in March of 2012 including the USDOJ and the IRS) I asked them to preclude Crowe, Yellon, Musser, and Ezell from making the revocation demands upon them and especially from the clear conflict each of them possess as detailed in the response.

The basis of the revocation is “publishing on the internet and in e-mails”.. and ..“information you obtained from NAPPS documents, was a violation of NAPPS policy”.

Not sure what documents you claim as violative but another compelling place to obtain these you can visit BLUM’s publications on his web site of documents he obtained from NAPPS. <http://brservices.com/news/> As follows :

Dec 2008	Sep 2008	Aug 2008	Jul 2008	Jun 2008	May 2008	Sep 2007	Jul 2007
Jun 2007	Apr 2007	Jan 2007	Jul 2006	Apr 2006	Mar 2006	Jan 2006	Dec 2005
Nov 2005	Oct 2005	Sep 2005	Aug 2005	Jul 2005	Jun 2005	May 2005	Apr 2005
Mar 2005	Jan 2005	Sep 2004	Feb 2004	Jan 2004	Sep 2003	Apr 2003	Mar 2003
Feb 2003	Jan 2003	Aug 2002	Jul 2002	Jun 2002	May 2002	Apr 2002	Mar 2002
Feb 2002	Jan 2002	Dec 2001	Nov 2001	Oct 2001	Sep 2001	Aug 2001	Jul 2001
Jun 2001	May 2001	Apr 2001	Mar 2001	Feb 2001	Jan 2001	Dec 2000	Nov 2000
Oct 2000	Sep 2000	Aug 2000	Jul 2000	Jun 2000	May 2000	Apr 2000	Mar 2000
Feb 2000	Jan 2000	Nov 1999	Oct 1999	Sep 1999	Aug 1999	Jul 1999	Jun 1999
May 1999	Apr 1999	Mar 1999	Feb 1999	Jan 1999	Dec 1998	Nov 1998	Oct 1998
Sep 1998	Aug 1998	Jul 1998	Jun 1998	May 1998	Apr 1998	Mar 1998	Feb 1998
Jan 1998	Dec 1997	Nov 1997	Oct 1997	Sep 1997	Aug 1997	Jul 1997	Jun 1997
May 1997	Apr 1997	Mar 1997	Dec 1996	Nov 1996	Oct 1996	Sep 1996	Aug 1996
Jul 1996	Jun 1996	May 1996	Apr 1996	Mar 1996	Feb 1996	Jan 1996	Aug 1995
Jul 1995	Feb 1995	Jan 1995	Aug 1994	Jul 1994	Jun 1994	May 1994	Apr 1994
Mar 1994	Dec 1993	Nov 1993	Oct 1993	Sep 1993	Aug 1993	Aug 1992	Jul 1992
Jun 1992	Oct 1991	Sep 1991	Aug 1991	Jul 1991	May 1991	Mar 1991	Jan 1991
Dec 1990	Jun 1990	May 1990	Apr 1989	Jan 1989	Oct 1988	Jul 1988	Feb 1988

Dec 1987	Sep 1987	Jun 1987	Mar 1987	Feb 1987	Nov 1986	Jul 1986	May 1986
Apr 1986	Feb 1986	Dec 1985	Apr 1985				

Since the record has been laid fully before the board and they reviewed it all there is no more that I could add to mitigate the deeply troubling results. If the board decides sua sponte to reevaluate please advise the undersigned before January 22, 2012.

In the board members reasoning to deny or approve the reconsideration I ask them to address with specificity, individually and corporately, in a written response to me the following:

1. Did you review the complete 197 page response
2. The reasonable basis why the cover letter from the AG chair written November 13, 2012 when the majority of cited events leading and purposed for the revocation occurred after that date AND the letter from the complainant was facially undated except revealed in the header on the subsequent pages to be November 21, 2012.
3. The reasonable basis for not excluding YELLON, MUSSER, EZELL, and KWIATKOWSKI when YELLON and KWIATKOWSKI abstained during the funding of New York and EZELL perjury on an IRS form 990 2011, and MUSSER calls the forms under IRS law "valuable government garbage" are all significant issue in contention within the complaint and my response.
4. Identify the specific documents that are alleged as NAPPS property and when did NAPPS/FAPPS comply with a single request that would have given me those.
5. Reread the material in support of the complaint and identify with specificity one issue that cannot be tied directly to complaints I made to law enforcement agencies, as acknowledged within the complaint and its exhibits.
6. Did your advisers withhold from you a material fact that in order to bring derivative actions a demand must be made 90 days before any court action could be taken? 90 days would have been that following Monday from January 4, 2013.
7. Explain with specificity why this meeting met the guidelines of a call of a special board meeting AND no notice was given to the members relating to the special board meeting.

Please govern yourselves accordingly,

Sincerely yours,



Randy Scott
343 Hazelwood Ave S
Lehigh Acres, Florida
33936